Experiments With Highly Parallel Network Stack Processing

Robert N. M. Watson

17 May 2007

FreeBSD Developer Summit BSDCan 2007



Introduction

- MPSAFE network stack in FreeBSD 5.3
 - November 2004
- Network stack safe to execute concurrently
 - Parallelism many processors at a time
 - Preemption low latency context switching
 - Direct dispatch from interrupt thread context
- Opportunities are limited due to work model
 - Threads represent potential parallelism

- So work must occur in multiple threads



Socket to Interface Code Flow









Input Path Parallelism

- Does have native concurrency
 - Work processed in ithread, optionally netisr, and user thread
- Cost of context switching measurable
 - Default in 7.x is direct dispatch as frequently faster
- Ordered with respect to source
 - Lack of parallelism for high bandwidth sources



Deferred netisr



Direct netisr



netisr2

- Function of netisr after direct dispatch
 - Encapsulation/decapsulation, loopback traffic
- Netisr2 re-implement netisr infrastructure
 - Per-cpu kernel worker threads
 - Maintain dispatch/queue distinction
- How to balance ordering and parallelism?
 - For direct dispatch, source ordering
 - For remote source input, per-protocol affinity lookup

- For locally source, affinity passed down stack



TCP Input Parallelism

- Serious bottleneck for TCP input processing
- Two IP-layer locks per protocol
 - Global pcbinfo lock protecting inpcb lists
 - Insert, lookup, removal
 - Per-inpcb lock protects per-connection state
 - Global list manipulations involving connection
 - Per-connection state
- Input path acquires and holds tcbinfo lock
 - inpcb state may change requiring list changes



Addressing Locking Granularity

- Big issue is tcbinfo lock
 - Held over input paths that may reset connection
 - In 7.x, no longer over common case output paths
- Option 1: True reference counting on inpcbs
 - tcbinfo can be dropped and then safely re-acquired without race when inpcb lock is dropped
- Option 2: Decompose tcbinfo lock
 - Connection groups (ConnP-L per Willman, et al)
 - Less disruptive of current code



TODO

- Identify key code paths that would benefit from increased parallelism
 - IP forwarding path, netisr/loopback
- Develop new work management models to allow feedback from scheduler
 - Is it cheaper to direct dispatch or are we overloading the current thread/CPU?
 - Are there CPUs available to do additional work?
 - How should the network stack tell the scheduler about data/connection affinity?

